

Board Meeting  
August 20 - 21, 2002

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

Base Year Modification Request Certification

Part 2: Generation Study - Includes Extrapolation of Residential or Non-Residential Diversion Data

To request a substitution for a previously approved base-year used in calculating the diversion rate for your jurisdiction, please complete and sign this form and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board  
Office of Local Assistance  
1001 I Street, 9th Floor  
PO Box 4025  
Sacramento, CA 95812-4025


General Instructions:

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your request to the Board.

☐ 1. Use a recent generation-based study to calculate our current reporting-year generation amount, but not officially change our existing Board-approved base year.

☐ 2. Use a recent generation-based study to officially change our existing Board-approved base year to a new base year.

The shaded cells on these sheets are protected. If you have problems using these sheets, please contact your Office of Local Assistance representative.

Section I: Jurisdiction Information and Certification			
All respondents must complete this section.			
I certify under penalty of perjury that the information in this document is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of:			
Jurisdiction Name		County	
Santa Cruz Unincorporated County		Santa Cruz	
Authorized Signature		Title	
		Director of Public Works	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing		Date	Phone ( )
Thomas L. Bolich		18-Dec-01	(831) 454-3102
Person Completing This Form (please print or type)		Title	
Dan deGrassi		IWM Planner	
Affiliation: Santa Cruz County Department of Public Works			
Mailing Address		City	State ZIP Code
701 Ocean Street, Room 410		Santa Cruz	CA 95060
E-mail address dpw180@co.santa-cruz.ca.us			

Page

Post-it® Fax Note	7671	Date	4-16-02	# of pages	1
To	Terri Edwards	From	Dan deGrassi		
Co./Dept.	CWMB-OLA	Co.			
Phone #		Phone #	931-454-3102		
Fax #	916-319-7480	Fax #			

**Section II: Information for New Generation-Based Study**

Attach additional sheets if necessary— reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., 4).

*Note: New base years must be representative of a jurisdiction's disposal and diversion.*

1. Current Board-approved existing base-year:

19% (1990)

2. Proposed new generation-based study year:

46% (1998)

3. Explain how the proposed generation study year is representative of average annual jurisdiction disposal and diversion:

1998 was a typical year in terms of disposal and diversion quantities; there were no out-of-the-ordinary waste generation events or other unusual circumstances affecting disposal or diversion amounts.

**4. Enter your diversion rates below.**

Diversion rate calculated using existing base year

a. 19 %

Diversion rate calculated using new generation-based study

b. 46 %

For existing base year pounds/person/day based on generation

6

For new generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation

8

Residential generation 38 % Non-Residential generation 62 %

Residential generation 38 % Non-Residential generation 62 %

Population existing generation-based study

130086

Population new generation-based study

136800

5. If there is an increase between 4a and 4b, please explain how the new diversion rate is consistent with your current diversion implementation efforts. If the proposed new generation tonnage results in an increase in your pounds/person/day, please explain how this is consistent with your current diversion implementation efforts and provide examples, e.g. change in jurisdiction's demographics.

The waste generation numbers cited in the original Waste Generation Study were inaccurate: they significantly undercounted existing diversion. In addition, the new diversion rate more accurately reflects the fact that the County has implemented a full spectrum of diversion programs. Please refer to the PARIS listing for program detail. The increase in pounds per person per day results from 1) the undercount in the original WGS; 2) an 11% population increase; and 3) an increase in economic activity. Compared to 1990, there are more people in the county with higher disposable incomes generating more waste. The County's diversion programs, which began in earnest in 1997 with our new refuse collection franchise, are thus recovering a higher percentage of a larger wastestream.

6. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the specific reasons for the difference. (For example: new/improved curbside diversion programs.)

The difference can be attributed to the following factors: 1) the original waste generation study significantly undercounted existing diversion; 2) the County has implemented countywide residential curbside recycling and yard waste collection service; 3) the County has implemented commercial recycling service; 4) the County has expanded drop-off recycling service in terms of locations, hours of operation and materials accepted; 5) the County has substantially increased its public outreach for promoting source reduction, recycling and composting by the residential and non-residential sectors.

**Section II: Information for New Generation-Based Study**

Attach additional sheets if necessary— reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., 4).

*Note: New base years must be representative of a jurisdiction's disposal and diversion.*

<b>1. Current Board-approved existing base-year:</b>	<b>2. Proposed new generation-based study year:</b>
<b>19% (1990)</b>	<b>46% (1998)</b>
<b>3. Explain how the proposed generation study year is representative of average annual jurisdiction disposal and diversion:</b>	
1998 was a typical year in terms of disposal and diversion quantities; there were no out-of-the-ordinary waste generation events or other unusual circumstances affecting disposal or diversion amounts.	

**4. Enter your diversion rates below.**

<b>Diversion rate calculated using existing base year</b>	<b>a. 19 %</b>	<b>Diversion rate calculated using new generation-based study</b>	<b>b. 46 %</b>
<b>For existing base year pounds/person/day based on generation</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>For new generation based study pounds/person/day based on generation</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Residential generation 38 %</b>	<b>Non-Residential generation 62 %</b>	<b>Residential generation 38 %</b>	<b>Non-Residential generation 62 %</b>
<b>Population existing generation-based study</b>	<b>130086</b>	<b>Population new generation-based study</b>	<b>136800</b>

**5. If there is an increase between 4a and 4b, please explain how the new diversion rate is consistent with your current diversion implementation efforts. If the proposed new generation tonnage results in an increase in your pounds/person/day, please explain how this is consistent with your current diversion implementation efforts and provide examples, e.g. change in jurisdiction's demographics.**

The waste generation numbers cited in the original Waste Generation Study were inaccurate: they significantly undercounted existing diversion. In addition, the new diversion rate more accurately reflects the fact that the County has implemented a full spectrum of diversion programs. Please refer to the PARIS listing for program detail. The increase in pounds per person per day results from 1) the undercount in the original WGS; 2) an 11% population increase; and 3) an increase in economic activity. Compared to 1990, there are more people in the county with higher disposable incomes generating more waste. The County's diversion programs, which began in earnest in 1997 with our new refuse collection franchise, are thus recovering a higher percentage of a larger wastestream.

**6. If the difference between the proposed diversion rates in 4a and 4b is greater than 5 percentage points, please explain the specific reasons for the difference. (For example: new/improved curbside diversion programs.)**

The difference can be attributed to the following factors: 1) the original waste generation study significantly undercounted existing diversion; 2) the County has implemented countywide residential curbside recycling and yard waste collection service; 3) the County has implemented commercial recycling service; 4) the County has expanded drop-off recycling service in terms of locations, hours of operation and materials accepted; 5) the County has substantially increased its public outreach for promoting source reduction, recycling and composting by the residential and non-residential sectors.

7. Disposal Tonnage: (enter values)		42789	59814	112603
		Residential	Non-Residential	Total

Please select the ONE choice below that best explains your disposal data and complete the required tables.

☒ a. All tons claimed are from the Board's Disposal Reporting System (No explanation required. Go to Section 8.)

☐ b. All tons claimed are from a 100 percent audit of hauler and self-haul tonnage. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification Request and Certification sheet found at <http://www.ciwm.ca.gov/central/forms/rhmdrq.doc>)

☐ c. Some Disposal Reporting System data were corrected. (Please complete Reporting Year Tonnage Modification Request and Certification sheet found at <http://www.ciwm.ca.gov/central/forms/rhmdrq.doc>)

8. In the table below, list the summarized diversion activities, and diversion data records that support your claim and are available for Board audit. (Note: the Board expects the jurisdictions to be able to provide all back-up documentation, if requested.) Include type of record and location—for example, weight tickets from transfer stations. This section should capture all diversion tonnage (form will perform all addition calculations). If any diversion is from restricted wastes (i.e., agricultural wastes, inert solids (e.g. concrete, asphalt, dirt, etc.), white goods, and scrap metal), please identify those programs/waste types and fill out section 11. Note: Restricted waste material should not be extrapolated in non-residential waste audits. Please mark as attachment 8 all copies of survey forms.

\* Please provide detailed non-residential waste audit information in Section 9.

Note: The Board has indicated that it will be scrutinizing total source reduction amounts greater than 5% of total generation. Please be prepared to provide additional details substantiating your claim.

Diversion Activity	Actual tons	Estimated or extrapolated tons	Total tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation (A+B) Total Generation	Specific materials (types)	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and Source	Type of record and location of record
<b>Residential Activities:</b>							
<b>Source Reduction</b>							
Backyard composting	39	6834	6873	3.3%	organics	054tons/cy-DSG	Survey Report-DPW
Grasscycling	17	3206	3223	1.5%	organics	.175tons/1000sf-DSG	Survey Report-DPW
<b>Other residential source reduction (list each program separately)</b>							
Garage Sales	24	3495	3520	1.7%	reusables	.35tons/sale-DSG	Survey Report-DPW
Bag Reuse	1	139	140	0.1%	paper bags	8bags/lb (actual weight) 150 bags/Hr-study estimate	Survey Report-DPW
Junk Mail Reduction	1	116	117	0.1%	mixed paper	12lbs/Hr-study estimate, NY Times article, 11-1-94	Survey Report-DPW
Diapers	5	846	851	0.4%	mixed paper	.44lbs/diaper-study actual weight	Survey Report-DPW
<b>Subtotal Res. Source Reduction</b>							
Recycling	87	14637	14724	7.0%			
Curbside Recycling	13527	N/A	13527	5.4%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	weight tickets	Monthly Reports-DPW
Buyback centers	1002	N/A	1002	0.5%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	sales records	Monthly Reports-DPW; DOC-DOR
Drop-off centers	5737	N/A	5737	2.7%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	weight tickets	Monthly Reports-DPW
<b>Other residential recycling (list each program separately)</b>							
		N/A					
		N/A					

Diversion Activity	Actual tons	Estimated or extrapolated tons	Total tons	Relative Percent to Total Generation	Specific material type(s)	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and source	Type of record and location of record
Please use the Board's program types. The program type category is online at: <a href="http://www.ciwmr.ca.gov/">http://www.ciwmr.ca.gov/</a> LGICEDIR/PARTS/CODES/RECURS.DM3							
	(A)	(B)	(A+B)	(A+B)/Total Generation	(List program with multiple materials together)		
Subtotal: Residential Recycling	20256	N/A	20256	9.6%			
Composting							
Green waste drop-off	12409	N/A	12409	5.9%	landscape waste	weight tickets	Monthly Reports-DPW
Curb-side green waste	6661	N/A	6661	3.2%	landscape waste	weight tickets	Monthly Reports-DPW
Christmas Tree program	included above	N/A	included above				
Other residential composting (list each program separately)							
Enter program name		N/A					
Enter program name		N/A					
Enter program name		N/A					
Enter program name		N/A					
Subtotal: Residential Composting	19090		19090	9.1%			
Subtotal: Residential Diversion	39443	14637	54080	25.7%			
Non-Residential Activities							
Source Reduction							
Non-Residential Waste Audits	8209		8209	3.6%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
Other non-residential source reduction (list each program separately)							
		N/A					
		N/A					
		N/A					
		N/A					
Subtotal: Non-Residential Source Reduction	8209	0	8209	3.9%			
Recycling							
Non-Residential Waste Audits	18666		18666	6.9%	See Section 9	See Section 9	See Section 9
Other non-residential recycling (list each program separately)							
California Grey Bears	1787	N/A	1787	0.6%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	actual wt. - mil sales records	Survey Report-DPW
Craig's Trucking & Recycling	302	N/A	302	0.1%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	actual wt. - mil sales records	Survey Report-DPW
SCRAP	676	N/A	676	0.3%	paper, metal, plastic, glass	actual wt. - mil sales records	Survey Report-DPW
Salinas Tallow	585	N/A	585	0.3%	organics	actual wt. - billing records	Survey Report-DPW

Diversion Activity	Actual tons (A)	Estimated or extrapolated tons (B)	Total tons (A+B)	Relative Percent to Total Generation (A+B)/Total Generation (A+B)	Specific material type(s) (List programs with multiple materials together)	Specific conversion factor used (if any) and Source	Type of record and location of record
Please use the Board's program types. The program type glossary is online at: <a href="http://www.ci.wmpe.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Code/Reduce.htm">http://www.ci.wmpe.ca.gov/LGCentral/PARIS/Code/Reduce.htm</a>							
Subtotal: Non-Residential Recycling	22036	0	22036	10.5%			
Composting							
Non-residential Waste Audits	0						
See Section 9							
Other non-residential composting (list each program separately)							
Horse Manure Composting	1710	N/A				45lbs/day/horse: Ohio State University Extension Bulletin AGF 208-95: Best Management Practices: "Land Application of Animal Manure" County of Santa Cruz San Lorenzo Valley Nitrate Management Plan	Survey Report-DPW
Enter program name		N/A			manure		
Enter program name		N/A					
Enter program name		N/A					
Enter program name		N/A					
Subtotal Non-Residential Composting	1710	0	0	0.6%			
Subtotal Non-Residential Diversion	31955	0	30245.34	14.4%			
Residential/Non-residential Diversion Activities							
ADC		N/A					
Sludge		N/A					
Scrap metal		N/A					
Construction and demolition							
Landfill salvage	5194	N/A	5194	2.5%	concrete	weight tickets	Landfill Records-DPW
Subtotal Residential/Non- Residential Diversion	6508	N/A	6508	3.1%	concrete, asphalt, plastic, mattresses	weight tickets	Landfill Records-DPW
Total Res/Non-Res Source Reduction Tons	11702		13412	6.4%			
Total Diversion Tons	8236	14637	22933	10.9%			
Total Disposal Tons from Sec. 7	83100	14637	97737.34	46%			
Total Generation (Div+Dis)	112603		112603	53.5%			
	195703	14637	210340.34				
Diversion Rate				46%			

### 9. Specific Non-Residential Sector Waste Audits – Top 10 Non-Residential Generators

Please complete this table for the top 10 non-residential generators that were surveyed. List each non-residential generator separately from the largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons. Audit reference number ties to your audit sheets.

(Form will perform all calculations).

Include attachment, marked attachment 9, which includes a summary of all the generators surveyed and all extrapolation calculations used to estimate the diversion rate:

- Include copies of survey form(s) used.
- Include for each generator (use type of generator in lieu of specific generator name, e.g. grocery store) each specific diversion activity and material type (e.g. cardboard recycling) and the associated tonnage for each diversion activity/material type, and applicable conversion factors/source.
- If using number of employees for your extrapolation method, include this information for each generator surveyed.
- Please order the non-residential generators, largest to smallest, based on total diversion tons.
- Also, summary should include the generators that were selected to be surveyed, but did not respond to the survey and the number of employees at each generator.
- As a comparison between disposal from the waste audits and DRS, the disposal for each generator must be included in the summary. Also, you should note if the disposal is being used for the extrapolation calculation. For each non-residential generator, the disposal must be broken out by cubic yard, and roll-off or compactor weights. If disposal was estimated for either disposal-based or employment-based extrapolation methods, please include conversion factor(s) for disposal and source for conversion factor(s). Please provide an explanation as to how the conversion factor(s) is (are) appropriate for your jurisdiction, e.g. study was conducted to determine average weights using hauler weight tickets, etc.

Type of Non-Residential Generator	Audit Reference Number	Specific Diversion Activities include material type (e.g. paper recycling, grasscycling). (List activities on one line)	Number of Employees	Source Reduction Tons	Recycling Tons	Composting Tons	Total Diversion Tons	Percent of Total Generation (Total Tons/Total Generation in Section 8)	Survey Method Phone (P) Mail (M) On-site (O) Other
Tree Trimming Service	5	mulching-wood waste	not asked*		6785		6785	3.2%	P
Tree Trimming Service	6	mulching-wood waste	not asked		3945		3945	1.9%	P
Landscape Business	1	mulching-wood waste	not asked		3135		3135	1.5%	P
School District	11	grasscycling	not asked	2683			2683	1.3%	P
Paper Manufacturer	28	paper recycling	not asked		1333		1333	0.6%	P
Thrift Store	35	misc. household items	not asked		939		939	0.4%	P
Golf Course	22	grasscycling	not asked	880			880	0.4%	P
Golf Course	21	grasscycling & mulching (ww)	not asked	113	720		833	0.4%	P
Golf Course	18	grasscycling & mulching (ww)	not asked	113	720		833	0.4%	P
Tree Trimming Service	3	mulching-wood waste	not asked		589		589	0.3%	P
Totals				3789	18166		21955		

Summarize the non-residential diversion activities for the top 10 generators quantification methodology and applicable conversion factors and sources. (e.g. cardboard recycling: quantified by monthly tonnage receipts provided by the contact person at the business)

Wood waste mulching: Estimates of cubic yards processed by Businesses 5, 6, 1, 21, 18, & 3 times 450lbs/cy, per CIWMB Diversion Study Guide times Unincorporated County %. Tree trimmers, landscapers & some golf courses regularly chip prunings and small limbs and leave on site for mulch use. Companies were contacted and surveyed regarding the amount of chipped prunings that they typically leave at sites in the course of a year and % of business in the Unincorporated County. Grasscycling: Estimates of lawn area for Businesses 11, 22, 21, & 18 times 8 tons/acre, per CIWMB Diversion Study Guide. Companies were contacted and surveyed regarding the amount of grass clippings left on site. Details for mulching and grasscycling are in Appendices 4E, 4F, 4G of BVA Diversion Study. Paper Recycling: Business 28 recycles and manufacturers paper; actual weight reported by the business. Household items: Business 35 sells donated items. Tons for this business were calculated using lbs/yr reported to Diversion Study by item sold and actual weights of these items. Details are in Appendix 4D of BVA Diversion Study.

\* Data collected through a 1999 diversion study, not a waste audit; data on # of employees not collected.

10. On a separate sheet of paper, marked attachment 10, provide the following information for each diversion program listed in section 8 that was extrapolated from representative sampling. (Note: Do not include non-respondents in extrapolation because there is no data from the non-respondents. Extrapolate from survey respondents.)

**A. Describe sampling method including:**

- Type of sampling method (for either stratified or cluster sampling provide detailed information on how strata and clusters were collected.);
- Total number of samples included in the survey;
- Number of non-respondents and respondents;
- Total population;
- Source for identifying population (e.g. city business licenses, commercial database, resident's addresses, etc.);
- Relation of sample size to total population;
- Survey data collection tool(s) and approaches;
- Confidence level and margin of error for the sampled population;
- Outliers (specific generators which fall significantly above or below others) should be removed from base amount prior to extrapolation; and
- Unusual outliers and exceptional anomalies should be described in detail.

**B. Describe the methods used to prevent double-counting between the surveys and the reported tonnages from haulers, recyclers, MRFs and composters.**

**C. Describe extrapolation method including:**

- Basis of extrapolation;
- Why this extrapolation method is appropriate; and
- Sources of information used for extrapolation, such as disposal or employment.
- Include all Calculations.



11. For each restricted waste type [(i.e. agricultural waste, inert solids (e.g. concrete, asphalt, dirt, etc.), scrap metals and white goods (PRC Section 41781.2)] and associated program, please provide the following information:

a. If the diversion program started on or after January 1, 1990, complete the following table:

(Note: program name refers to one specific diversion program for that waste type, for example diversion conducted by City Public Waste Dept.)

Restricted Waste Type	Specific Program name	Year started	Tonnage
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼			

b. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, on a separate sheet, marked attachment 11b, provide the following documentation: (Note: If documentation for a waste type and program has already been approved by the Board, you do not have to provide an attachment 11b for that waste type and program. Instead please provide date of Board approval of previous submitted information.) 19-Nov-97 (Date)

If documentation is not available, go to 11d.

▪ How the diversion was the result of a local action taken by the jurisdiction, which specifically resulted in the diversion [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (1)].

▪ That the amount of that waste type diverted from the jurisdiction in 1990 was less than or equal to the amount of that waste type disposed at a permitted disposal facility by the jurisdiction in any year before 1990. (Note: this criterion is applicable to the entire jurisdiction, not to individual programs [PRC Sec. 41781.2 (c) (2)]). Please include documentation.

▪ The jurisdiction is implementing, and will continue to implement, the diversion programs in its Source Reduction and Recycling Element.

c. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 11b is available (but not yet approved by the Board), complete the table below for each program claimed:

Restricted Waste Type	Specific Program Name	New base year or reporting year diversion tonnage
Inert Solids ▼	Buena Vista Landfill Salvage - Roadbase	4411
Scrap Metal ▼	Buena Vista Landfill Drop-off Recycling	2336
Scrap Metal ▼	Ben Lomond Transfer Station Drop-off Recycling	1243
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼		
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼		

d. If the diversion program started before January 1, 1990, and the documentation requested in 11b is not available, please complete the table below for each program claimed. (Note: Only the difference between the new base year/reporting year and 1990 can be counted in the diversion rate calculation.)

Restricted Waste Type	Specific Program name	New base year or reporting year tonnage	1990 diversion tonnage	Difference
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				
Pull Down for Waste Types ▼				

## Business Audit Diversion for the Unincorporated Areas of Santa Cruz Cnty

Ref. #	Category	Type of Business	Diversion Category	Material Type	Diversion Activity	Conversion Factor and Source	SR Tons	Recycle Tons
1	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	1746.2 cu. yds./mo. processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 70% of business within uni. County		3135.25
2	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	8.3 cu. yds./mo processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 90% of business within uni. County		20.25
3	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	545 cu. yds./mo. processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 40% of business within uni. County		588.6
4	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	50 cu. yds./mo. processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 85% of business within uni. County		114.75
5	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	5026 cu. yds./mo. processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 50% of business within uni. County		6785
6	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	1885.3 cu. yds./mo. processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 77.5% of business within uni. County		3,945
7	Generator	Tree Trimming Service	Recycling	wood waste	recycling	33.3 cu. yds./mo processed by business at 450 lbs./cu. yd. & 100% of business within uni. County		90
8	Generator	School	SR	grass	grasscycling	.75 acre @ 7.6 tons/acre	5.7	
			Recycling	green waste	prunings/clippings	actual weight		5.3
9	Generator	School	SR	grass	grasscycling	3 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	22.8	
10	Generator	School	SR	grass	grasscycling	8 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	60	
11	Generator	School district	SR	grass	grasscycling	335 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	2546	
12	Generator	School district	SR	grass	grasscycling	14 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	106.4	
			Recycling	green waste	prunings/clippings	actual weight		10.5
13	Generator	School district	SR	grass	grasscycling	.3 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	2	
14	Generator	School district	SR	grass	grasscycling	10 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	76	

## Attachment 9

Ref. #	Category	Type of Business	Diversion Category	Material Type	Diversion Activity	Conversion Factor and Source	SR Tons	Recycle Tons
15	Generator	Junior College	SR	grass	grasscycling	20 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	152	
			Recycling	green waste	prunings/clippings	cu. yds. @ 260 lbs/cu. Yd.		9
16	Generator	School district	SR	grass	grasscycling	12 acres @ 7.6 tons/ acre	91.2	
			Recycling	green waste	prunings/clippings	111 cu yds @ 200 lbs/cu yd		11
17	Generator	County parks	SR	grass	grasscycling	47.6 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	361.76	
18	Generator	Golf Course	Recycling SR	green waste grass	prunings/clippings grasscycling	cu. yds. @ 260 lbs/cu yd. 90 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre		50
			Recycling	wood waste	chipped and used on-site	500 cu yds @ 450 lbs/cu yd		112.5
19	Generator	Golf Course	SR	grass	grasscycling	18 acres @ 7.6 acres/ton	136.8	
			Recycling	wood waste	chipped and used on-site	111 cu yds @ 450 lbs/cu yd		25
20	Generator	Golf Course	SR	grass	grasscycling	55 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	418	
			Recycling	wood waste	chipped and used on-site	463 cu yds @ 45 lbs/cu yd		104
21	Generator	Golf Course	SR	grass	grasscycling	90 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	684	
			Recycling	wood waste	chipped and used on-site	500 cu yds @ 450 lbs/cu yd		112.5
22	Generator	Golf Course	SR	wood waste grass	grasscycling	110 acres @ 7.6 tons/acre	836	
23	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Dairy/Ranch	Recycling	food waste	used as cattle feed	Average of 6 to 3 loads/wk at 1.5 to 3 tons/truckload received from uninc. County businesses		437.6
24	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Farm/Feed Service	Recycling	food waste	used as pig feed	Average of 7 to 5 loads/wk at 1.5 to 2 tons/truckload received from uninc. County businesses		497
25	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Farm	Recycling	food waste	used as animal feed; some food donation to homeless shelter	Average of 5.5 to 1.6 loads/wk at 2 to 7 tons/truckload received from uninc. County businesses		524
26	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Feed Manufacturer	Recycling	food waste	produces feed from food waste	Average of 3 to 2 loads/wk at 3 to 4 tons/truckload received from uninc. County businesses		401

Attachment 9

Ref. #	Category	Type of Business	Diversion Category	Material Type	Diversion Activity	Conversion Factor and Source	SR Tons	Recycle Tons
27	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Paper Mfr.	Recycling	paper	recycled paper/manufacture	weight tickets 5333 clothing items, 13 plastic items, 800 books. Weight conversions based on averages for cited materials as found in "Density Conversion Factors", by Dr. Eugene Tseng, in Los Angeles County Training Manual for Business Recycling Technical Assistance Program, December 1999.		1633
28	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 19 electronic equipment items, 1333 clothing items, 23 furniture items, 1481 paper items, 158 plastic items, 800 books. Same weight conversion source as above.	4.4	
29	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 27 non-ferrous metal items, 4 electronic equipment items, 4 mattresses, 1333 clothing items, 23 furniture items, 2222 paper items, 158 plastic items, 40 construction items. Same weight conversion source as above.	4.6	
30	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 2667 clothing items. Same weight conversion source as above.	6.7	
31	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 10,000 clothing items, 11,158 plastic items. Same weight conversion source as above.	1.9	
32	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 3333 clothing items, 2 furniture items, 3 metal items. Same weight conversion source as above.	28.7	
33	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 355 electronic equipment items, 1689 mattresses, 652,082 clothing items, 6329 furniture items, 1285 paper items, 6602 plastic items, 2110 books, 971 metal items. Same weight conversion source as above.	2.5	
34	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store (multiple locations)	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 355 electronic equipment items, 1689 mattresses, 652,082 clothing items, 6329 furniture items, 1285 paper items, 6602 plastic items, 2110 books, 971 metal items. Same weight conversion source as above.	465.1	

## Attachment 9

Ref. #	Category	Type of Business	Diversion Category	Material Type	Diversion Activity	Conversion Factor and Source	SR Tons	Recycle Tons
35	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store (multiple locations)	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	646 electronic equipment items, 3301 mattresses, 1,272,327 clothing items, 12,347 furniture items, 2547 paper items, 12,669 plastic items, 3439 books, 1859 metal items. Same weight conversion source as above.	906.6	
36	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 1127 clothing items. Same weight conversion source as above.	0.8	
37	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 800 clothing items, 70 furniture items. Same weight conversion source as above.	3.6	
38	Utilizes Recycled Materials	Thrift Store	SR	misc. household items	sells donated items	lbs/year reported by approximate # items sold: 2200 clothing items. Same weight conversion source as above.	1.7	
39	Generator	Wholesale Food Distributor	SR	pallets	pallet reuse	25,000 pallets (single use) at 40 lb./pallet	500	
40	Generator	Farm	SR	pallets	pallet reuse	10 pallets (single use) at 40 lb./pallet	0.2	
41	Generator	Farm	SR	shipping containers	container reuse	4000 at 13 lb./container (actual weight) single reuse	26	
			SR	pallets	pallet reuse	2400 pallets (single use) at 40 lb./pallet	48	
			SR	food waste	use as soil amendment	monthly tonnage approximation using truck weights	24	
42	Generator	Nursery	SR	grass	grasscycling	1/4 acre at 6.5 tons/acre	1.6	
			Recycling	green waste	use as soil amendment	volume per month		75
Total Business Diversion							8209.34	18,686.25

26,896

## **SECTION 4 DIVERTED WASTES**

### **INTRODUCTION**

A primary purpose of this project is to enable Santa Cruz County to apply to the CIWMB to use 1998 as a new base year for AB 939 compliance purposes. In order to do this, the County must have reliable measurements of the amounts of waste disposed and diverted (recycled, or otherwise prevented) in that year. Records of disposal reported to the CIWMB establish the amount of waste disposed by each California jurisdiction. However, records of diversion are not generally available; they must be developed locally through surveys of businesses and residents, asking how much material was recycled or simply was not used or disposed. This section describes the study team's work and findings from several surveys:

- Survey of residents
- Survey of diversion businesses
- General survey of businesses
- Ancillary surveys and records

### **SURVEY OF RESIDENTS**

The 1998 population of the unincorporated portion of Santa Cruz County was listed by the State Department of Finance as 136,200 persons. This represents approximately 54,500 households, primarily in single-family dwellings but with some apartments as well. Using the same principles as those employed for public opinion polls, the study team determined that a random survey of 300 households would provide sufficient information to prepare a useful estimate of recycling and waste reduction activities by residents throughout the unincorporated County. Surveying 300 households provides 90% confidence that the average response will be within 5 percentage points of the actual Countywide average. (In fact, a 271-household survey would meet this criterion.)

### **Approach**

The survey was designed to measure several aspects of residents' recycling and source reduction activities. Residents were surveyed to identify waste prevention activities that they participated in on an ongoing basis. The survey asked residents if, and to what extent, they:

- donated materials to churches or other non-profit organizations
- sold materials through garage sales,
- took a reusable bag to the store when they shopped
- left the clippings on their lawn when they mowed
- composted at home
- intentionally included slow growing plants in their landscaping instead of lawn
- requested to be removed from bulk mail mailing lists

## ATTACHMENT 11B

### COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ BASE YEAR MODIFICATION REQUEST CERTIFICATION Section 11B - Restricted Waste Documentation

#### **1. Program: Landfill Roadbase Diversion**

Waste Type: Inert Materials: Concrete, Asphalt, Rock, Brick

A. Local Action: Action is taken as part of standard operating policy for the Buena Vista Landfill; this policy is embodied in the Report of Disposal Site Information and Permit Condition for operation of the landfill.

On June 20-21, 1985, the California Integrated Waste Management Board adopted Solid Waste Facility Determination of Conformance #85-8, Solid Waste Facility Permit Decision #85-58 concurring with a revision to Solid Waste Facility Permit No. 44-AA-004. That permit contains conditions and findings including a Report of Disposal Site Information, dated February 4, 1985. This RDSI states " Haul roads leading from the access road to the active waste disposal areas will be graded on intermediate surfaces and will be constructed with a base of clean demolition material or rock to ensure road use during wet weather".

B. Diversion Quantity in 1990 = 1600 tons (Source: Landfill Weight Records - Monthly Materials Summary)

Pre-1990 Disposal Quantity = 27,996 tons (Source: Santa Cruz County Waste Stream Composition Study, dated April 1990, Table 2, page 19.)

C. SRRE Program Implementation: Diversion programs in SRRE have been implemented per CIWMB PARIS records for 2000 (<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/>).

#### **2. Program: Buena Vista Landfill Dropoff Recycling**

Waste Type: Scrap Metal

A. Local Action: Action is taken as result of contract between County and operator of landfill recycling center, dated October 19, 1982. Section 10 of contract specifies materials to be recycled including metals. This includes all types of ferrous and non-ferrous metals such as wire, appliances, sheet metal, tin cans, pipe, fencing, etc. In 1998 Contractor sold all metal together as scrap metal and did not keep separate records for different metal types.

B. Diversion Quantity in 1990 = 1739 tons

(Source: Santa Cruz County Waste Generation Study, March 1991, Table 3-T, page 3-31)

Pre-1990 Disposal Quantity = 5523 tons

(Source: Santa Cruz County Waste Composition Study, April 1990, Table 2, page 19.)

C. SRRE Program Implementation: Diversion programs in SRRE have been implemented per CIWMB PARIS records for 2000 (<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/>).

**3. Program: Ben Lomond Transfer Station Dropoff Recycling**

Waste Type: Scrap Metal

A. Local Action: Action is taken as result of contract between County and operator of landfill recycling center, dated October 19, 1982. Section 10 of contract specifies materials to be recycled including metals. This includes all types of ferrous and non-ferrous metals such as wire, appliances, sheet metal, tin cans, pipe, fencing, etc. In 1998 Contractor sold all metal together as scrap metal and did not keep separate records for different metal types.

B. Diversion Quantity in 1990 = 1739 tons

(Source: Santa Cruz County Waste Generation Study, March 1991, Table 3-T, page 3-31)

Pre-1990 Disposal Quantity = 5523 tons

(Source: Santa Cruz County Waste Composition Study, April 1990, Table 2, page 19.)

C. SRRE Program Implementation: Diversion programs in SRRE have been implemented per CIWMB PARIS records for 2000 (<http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LGCentral/Paris/>).

NOTE: Supporting documentation is attached in order of citation above.